'03 DEC 29 P1:14

STATE OF HAWAII REQUEST FOR EXEMPTION FROM CHAPTER 103B, HRS 29 A7:55

FROM: Department of Human Services/Med-QUEST Division
(Department/Division/Agency)

STATE PROBURE SENT DEFICE

Pursuant to § 103D-102(b)(4), HRS, and Chapter 3-120, HAR, the Department requests a procurement exemption to purchase the following:

Description of goods, services, or construction:

Legal services in connection with the Medicaid Program, Title XIX of the Social Security Act. Services to include amendments to the Hawaii State Plan for Disproportionate Share Hosptial Payments (DSH), cost share for Medicare eligibles Nursing & Acute facility Upper Limit Payments (UPL) and Medicare bad debt.

Name of Vendor:	Covington & Burling	Cost:
Address:	1201 Pennsylvania Ave. NW	
	Washington, DC 20004-2401	\$200,000.00

Term of Contract:	From:	То:	Prior Exemption Ref. No. (if applicable)
Upon CPO	Approva1	June 30, 2004	

Explanation describing how procurement by competitive means is either not practicable or not advantageous to the State:

See Attached

Details of the process or procedure to be followed in selecting the vendor to ensure maximum fair and open competition as practicable:

The department had completed the procurement for Professional Services and Covington & Burling was the only offeror.

REQUEST FOR EXEMPTION FROM CHAPTER 103D, HRS (Cont.)

A description of the agency's internal controls and approval requirements for the exempted procurement:				
The contract for legal services will be under the direction of DHS along with supervision and oversight of the Attorney General.				
A List of coordy personnel by position title who will be inv	olved in the approval process and administration of the contract:			
Aileen Hiramatsu, Med-QUEST Administra Brian Pang, Finance Officer	tor			
Edwin Igarashi, Fiscal Management Offi	.cer			
Heidi Rian. Deputy Attorney General				
Direct questions to:	Phone Number: (808) 692-7956			
Brian Pang	(808) 692-7936			
This exemption should be considered for list of exemptions a				
	ED ABOVE IS, TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE, D CORRECT.			
	Sillian E Kolon DEC 237			
	Department Head or Designee Date			
	Director Title (If other than Department Head)			
	The (if one) than Department Fleaty			
Chief Procurement Officer's Comments:				
Approval is granted with the underst	anding the the contractor will comply			
with section 103D-310(c) of Act 52 DO				
Please ensure adherence to applicable administrative require	ments <u>.</u>			
	Man Mark 1/7/ad			
APPROVED 🗆 DISAPPROVED				
•	Chief Procurement Officer Date			

The department has completed a procurement of professional services. Covington & Burling was the only offeror for this procurement. Mr. Charles Miller who will be the lead attorney has over 30 years of experience in dealing with the Medicaid State Plans across the nation. The department is seeking a waiver of Act 52 which was passed during the last legislative session. Act 52 requires that contractors be registered to do business in Hawaii. While Covington & Burling could obtain a certificate of good standing from its home state, and could conceivably establish a local office, the local office could not perform the services required by the contract unless it is staffed by someone who is licensed to practice law in Hawaii. This amendment would require the attorney, Mr. Charles Miller, himself to apply for, take, and pass the Hawaii bar examination and become licensed to practice law in Hawaii. Becoming licensed to practice law or association with a legal firm in Hawaii is costly and time consuming and impracticable. Also importantly, Mr. Miller's legal services are needed on a time sensitive basis because we are attempting to get over \$10 million new federal dollars for Hawaii which requires Mr. Miller's expertise and certain actions that he must take immediately in Washington, D.C., on our behalf to ensure legal authorizations from the Centers For Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS). In short, time is of the essence and the specialized services are to be performed out-of-state as well as in Hawaii. These factors make Act 52 inapplicable or inappropriate for which this exemption is being sought as an urgent request.